The Entity-Relationship Model

CS 186 Fall 2002: Lecture 2
R &G - Chapter 2

A relationship, I think, is like a shark, you know? It has to constantly move forward or it dies. And I think what we got on our hands is a dead shark.

Woody Allen (from Annie Hall, 1979)



Databases Model the Real World

- **"Data Model" allows us to translate real world things into structures computers can store**
- **Many models: Relational, E-R, O-O, Network, Hierarchical, etc.**
- **Relational**
  - Rows & Columns
  - Keys & Foreign Keys to link Relations

Enrolled

sid	cid	grade
53666	Carnatic101	C
53666	Reggae203	B
53650	Topology112	A
53666	History105	B

Students

sid	name	login	age	gpa
53666	Jones	jones@cs	18	3.4
53688	Smith	smith@eecs	18	3.2
53650	Smith	smith@math	19	3.8

Steps in Database Design

- Requirements Analysis
  - user needs; what must database do?
- Conceptual Design
  - high level descr (often done w/ER model)
- Logical Design
  - translate ER into DBMS data model
- Schema Refinement
  - consistency, normalization
- Physical Design - indexes, disk layout
- Security Design - who accesses what, and how

Conceptual Design

- What are the *entities* and *relationships* in the enterprise?
- What information about these entities and relationships should we store in the database?
- What are the *integrity constraints* or *business rules* that hold?
- A database `schema' in the ER Model can be represented pictorially (*ER diagrams*).
- Can map an ER diagram into a relational schema.

ER Model Basics



- *Entity:* Real-world object, distinguishable from other objects. An entity is described using a set of *attributes*.
- *Entity Set*: A collection of similar entities. E.g., all employees.
  - All entities in an entity set have the same set of attributes. (Until we consider hierarchies, anyway!)
  - Each entity set has a *key (underlined)*.
  - Each attribute has a *domain*.

ER Model Basics (Contd.)



- ***Relationship*: Association among two or more entities. E.g., Attishoo works in Pharmacy department.**
  - relationships can have their own attributes.
- ***Relationship Set*: Collection of similar relationships.**
  - An *n*-ary relationship set *R* relates *n* entity sets $E_1 \ldots E_n$; each relationship in *R* involves entities $e_1 \in E_1, \ldots, e_n \in E_n$

ER Model Basics (Cont.)



- Same entity set can participate in different relationship sets, or in different "roles" in the same set.

Key Constraints

An employee can work in many departments; a dept can have many employees.

In contrast, each dept has at most one manager, according to the *key constraint* on Manages.





Participation Constraints

- **Does every employee work in a department?**
- **If so, this is a *participation constraint***
  - the participation of Employees in Works_In is said to be *total* (vs. *partial*)
  - What if every department has an employee working in it?
- **Basically means "at least one"**



Weak Entities

A *weak entity* can be identified uniquely only by considering the primary key of another (*owner*) entity.

- Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (one owner, many weak entities).
- Weak entity set must have total participation in this *identifying* relationship set.



Weak entities have only a "partial key" (dashed underline)

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships

If each policy is owned by just 1 employee:

Key constraint on Policies would mean policy can only cover 1 dependent!

• Think through *all* the constraints in the 2nd diagram!



Binary vs. Ternary Relationships (Contd.)

- Previous example illustrated a case when two binary relationships were better than one ternary relationship.
- An example in the other direction: a ternary relation Contracts relates entity sets Parts, Departments and Suppliers, and has descriptive attribute *qty*. No combination of binary relationships is an adequate substitute.

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships (Contd.)



- S "can-supply" P, D "needs" P, and D "deals-with" S does not imply that D has agreed to buy P from S.
- How do we record *qty*?

Summary so far

- **Entities and Entity Set (boxes)**
- **Relationships and Relationship sets (diamonds)**
  - binary
  - n-ary
- **Key constraints (1-1,1-M, M-M, arrows on 1 side)**
- **Participation constraints (bold for Total)**
- **Weak entities - require strong entity for key**
- **Next, a couple more "advanced" concepts...**

ISA (`is a') Hierarchies



❖As in C++, or other PLs, attributes are inherited.

❖If we declare A **ISA** B, every A entity is also considered to be a B entity.

- ***Overlap constraints*: Can Simon be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? (*Allowed/disallowed*)**
- ***Covering constraints*: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? (*Yes/no*)**
- **Reasons for using ISA:**
  - To add descriptive attributes specific to a subclass.
    - i.e. not appropriate for all entities in the superclass
  - To identify entities that participate in a particular relationship
    - i.e., not all superclass entities participate

Aggregation



Used to model a relationship involving a *relationship set*.

Allows us to treat a relationship set as an entity set for purposes of participation in (other) relationships.

Aggregation vs. ternary relationship?

❖ Monitors is a distinct relationship, with a descriptive attribute.

❖ Also, can say that each sponsorship is monitored by at most one employee.

Conceptual Design Using the ER Model

- **ER modeling *can* get tricky!**
- **Design choices:**
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or an attribute?
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or a relationship?
  - Identifying relationships: Binary or ternary? Aggregation?
- **Note constraints of the ER Model:**
  - A lot of data semantics can (and should) be captured.
  - But some constraints cannot be captured in ER diagrams.
    - We'll refine things in our logical (relational) design

Entity vs. Attribute

- **Should *address* be an attribute of Employees or an entity (related to Employees)?**
- **Depends upon how we want to use address information, and the semantics of the data:**
  - If we have several addresses per employee, *address* must be an entity (since attributes cannot be set-valued).
  - If the structure (city, street, etc.) is important, *address* must be modeled as an entity (since attribute values are atomic).

Entity vs. Attribute (Cont.)

- **Works_In2 does not allow an employee to work in a department for two or more periods.**
- **Similar to the problem of wanting to record several addresses for an employee: we want to record *several values of the descriptive attributes for each instance of this relationship.***



Entity vs. Relationship

OK as long as a manager gets a separate discretionary budget (*dbudget*) for each dept.

What if manager's *dbudget* covers *all* managed depts?

(can repeat value, but such redundancy is problematic)



Now you try it

Try this at home - Courses database:

- **Courses, Students, Teachers**
- **Courses have ids, titles, credits, …**
- **Courses have multiple sections that have time/rm and exactly one teacher**
- **Must track students' course schedules and transcripts including grades, semester taken, etc.**
- **Must track which classes a professor has taught**
- **Database should work over multiple semesters**

These things get pretty hairy!

- **Many E-R diagrams cover entire walls!**
- **A modest example:**

A Cadastral E-R Diagram



cadastral: showing or recording property boundaries, subdivision lines, buildings, and related details

Source: US Dept. Interior Bureau of Land Management, Federal Geographic Data Committee Cadastral Subcommittee
http://www.fairview-industries.com/standardmodule/cad-erd.htm

Summary of Conceptual Design

- *Conceptual design* follows *requirements analysis*,
  - Yields a high-level description of data to be stored
- ER model popular for conceptual design
  - Constructs are expressive, close to the way people think about their applications.
  - Note: There are many variations on ER model
    - Both graphically and conceptually
- Basic constructs: *entities*, *relationships*, and *attributes* (of entities and relationships).
- Some additional constructs: *weak entities*, *ISA hierarchies*, and *aggregation*.

Summary of ER (Cont.)

- Several kinds of integrity constraints:
  - *key constraints*
  - *participation constraints*
  - *overlap/covering* for ISA hierarchies.
- Some *foreign key constraints* are also implicit in the definition of a relationship set.
- Many other constraints (notably, *functional dependencies*) cannot be expressed.
- Constraints play an important role in determining the best database design for an enterprise.

Summary of ER (Cont.)

- ER design is *subjective*. There are often many ways to model a given scenario!
- Analyzing alternatives can be tricky, especially for a large enterprise. Common choices include:
  - Entity vs. attribute, entity vs. relationship, binary or n-ary relationship, whether or not to use ISA hierarchies, aggregation.
- Ensuring good database design: resulting relational schema should be analyzed and refined further.
  - Functional Dependency information and normalization techniques are especially useful.